

Urban Health: Addressing the Impacts of City Living on Well-Being

Alberta Jeanne N.

School of Applied Health Sciences Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Urban health is a broad field that studies the effects of metropolitan surroundings on individual and population health, including physical, mental, and social well-being. Cities have major benefits such as economic possibilities, cultural growth, and contemporary infrastructure, but they also provide health risks such as high stress, environmental deterioration, and inequality in access to health resources. This study analyses the complex connections between urban environmental elements and well-being, as well as methods for assessing these consequences. It also examines tactics used in cities around the world to address health inequities, such as policy interventions and community-focused initiatives, and provides case studies of successful urban health practices. The review concludes with future directions for urban health, stressing the need for interdisciplinary research and collaborative efforts to address the growing health challenges posed by urbanization.

Keywords: Urban health, well-being, mental health, environmental factors, social equity, urbanization, health disparities.

INTRODUCTION

Cities are seen as the harbinger of modern life, where knowledge-based industries are also becoming more concentrated. Urban living signifies prosperity and a better quality of life, with opportunities for social and cultural development. Cities represent a network of prosperity and provide goods and services at affordable prices. In the urban context, modern technology mixes with traditional structures and cultures, while the workforce can find an array of employment opportunities. Across the globe, urban dwellers benefit from the utility of modern infrastructure, while those living in rural areas benefit from the gains of knowledge- and service-based industries within cities. [1, 2]. The global economic prosperity and well-being of urban dwellers as a result of living in cities are dampened by the negative externalities of urban living. These include population density, congestion, greenfield encroachment, pollution, noise, overconsumption of resources, and urban-generated waste, power disequilibrium, social inequity, discord, and social disharmony. The negative effects on physical and mental well-being propagate in the form of persistent diseases, easily transmittable and pandemic diseases, and a stress epidemic. The latter occurs as a result of chronic stress amplified by social norms and pressures. With over 3 billion people living in cities today and an expected increase to 6.7 billion people by 2050, cities will continue to present societal challenges. The ill effects of urban living on physical and mental health eventually spill over to national health and strained social support systems, and the economic well-being of urban societies is affected. Public goods and services contribute to the building of a developed urban environment, providing a big payoff in terms of economic growth, business development, employment opportunities, and the well-being of urban dwellers and the residents living in the greater region. However, unfriendly cities that exacerbate and perpetuate health negatives are costly. They can reverse wealth potential and promote social disharmony, depriving urban dwellers and the residents living in the greater region of socioeconomic benefits [3, 4].

Factors Influencing Urban Well-Being

Understanding the many dimensions of health and well-being and how they interact is complex, and within an urban environment, such factors are compounded. The details of an individual's life, as well as

the qualities of the city, interact to form the individual's experience of well-being. Considering multiple interrelated dimensions of well-being, or assets, may provide a more comprehensive framework for evaluating health and well-being, especially in the context of urban populations. Five main factors can help predict an individual's level of health and well-being and are concerned with the production of goods and services for an individual, a household, or a city: the environment and climate, also called the ecological factor; the factor of production, which is the actual process of producing goods; the human factor, which is concerned with labor supply; the presence or absence of economic resources; and the variety of non-market goods and services, which are therefore directly purchased [5, 6]. A truly comprehensive framework for well-being would consider these factors, utilizing research across health, psychology, sociology, economics, and other relevant social sciences to understand the complex, multi-dimensional interactions occurring within and across individuals and their environments. It would also be flexible enough to address health and well-being within conditions as diverse as those faced by populations in these dense, fast-paced, resource-challenged urban centers. The city itself provides fundamental services for those citizens, including systems for supplying water, energy, transport, waste, and communication. Using these elements as a basic framework, health and well-being issues associated with city living that currently exist and are expected to grow can be broadly investigated [7, 8].

Strategies For Improving Urban Health

A variety of strategies are currently employed in cities to mitigate the adverse health impacts associated with complex urban systems. Policy and place-based approaches are common and reflect the broad range of factors that influence health. The ultimate success of any strategy depends on its efficiency and effectiveness to effect change in the right direction. One hundred years ago, cities were ground zero for addressing social inequities resulting from industrialization, urban living conditions, and emerging health disparities. Today, the same determinants of health disparity persist, demanding that urban practitioners, policymakers, and researchers join forces to resolve health inequities among urban residents [9, 10]. Urban diagnosis and intervention strategies have been established based on what works and may generally be categorized into three broad and overlapping domains, including (1) the impact of physical urban form and organization, (2) policy and place-based approaches that address the social determinants of differences in clinical and population health outcomes, and (3) strategies involving technical innovations that address aspects of the journey from birth to death as influenced by the urban environment. Cities should pick a specific focus, and then take a systematic, cross-sector approach. That is; to address health equity, cities must first deepen their understanding through comprehensive, data-driven assessments and then consider tailoring their strategies to their findings [11, 12].

Case Studies and Best Practices

Further case studies illustrate how the urban health initiatives have been successful over many years, reflecting most of the characteristics and conditions outlined, improving overall health and, in the process, reducing health inequalities. With this knowledge, the local authority and its partners, including the health agencies, can begin to ratify the health trajectory of its population. For example, if data reveal a local health deficit in general fitness, especially in children between 5 and 10 years old, who, particularly in schools serving deprived catchment areas, show lower than average scores in physical education, this can be fed into the evidence base. Community development staff would then approach the two or three affected schools to explore which of the neighborhood facilities, such as playing fields, open spaces, sports centers, or swimming pools, are used by children after school [13, 14]. The influence of the urban environment on the lives of young children and the elderly was mentioned earlier, yet who has researched and evaluated how walking to school by an active child protects the general health of their grandmother? The rationale is clear. The more facilities that are used in a neighborhood by a diverse age group, the more physically active the entire population will be, benefiting family health and community well-being. The good news is that invested well-being, as a valuable community asset, is both insurance against and protection from poor health [15, 16].

Future Directions and Challenges

Moving into a new era in which urbanization will become the predominant trend and human society will be shaped by the digital revolution, cities have the potential to become future models of health-promotion effective settings. However, a complex question regarding public health and global sustainability arises about the best strategies for addressing these health challenges. The paper has made a summary of recent studies and evidence trying to point out future directions and challenges for urban health. There are many challenges for urban health issues because urban health is an interdisciplinary specialty. In the face

of increasingly high health demand, it is becoming increasingly clear that promoting urban health and improving the well-being of urban populations needs the collaboration and participation of various sectors, such as urban policymakers, health managers, researchers, and other practitioners, as well as the general public. Urban health research, which draws from a wide variety of fields such as urban studies, environmental science, health sciences, and economics, can contribute evidence on potential policy measures in response to existing threats and challenges and also support the planning and shaping of future trends in respect of urban structures and health effects on the health of urban citizens. Future research on urban health needs to pay more attention to the risks associated with the impact of environmental and health policies, as well as unintentional negative health consequences. Future health research will be beneficial for society and the economy, as it can contribute significantly to addressing many of the global challenges related to urban population dynamics in the twenty-first century [17, 18].

CONCLUSION

As cities continue to grow and serve as primary hubs of social, economic, and cultural activity, the health of urban residents faces unique challenges due to factors such as environmental pollution, socioeconomic disparities, and the fast pace of urban life. Addressing these issues requires a multifaceted approach involving urban planners, policymakers, health professionals, and community stakeholders. Integrating sustainable urban design with policies that target social determinants of health can create environments that support physical and mental well-being. Through informed urban health strategies and evidence-based interventions, cities can evolve into models of health promotion and resilience, potentially setting a global standard for healthy, equitable urban living.

REFERENCES

1. Sapena M, Wurm M, Taubenböck H, Tuia D, Ruiz LA. Estimating quality of life dimensions from urban spatial pattern metrics. *Computers, environment, and urban systems*. 2021 Jan 1; 85:101549. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
2. Zhu H, Shen L, Ren Y. How can a smart city shape a happier life? The mechanism for developing a Happiness Driven Smart City. *Sustainable cities and society*. 2022 May 1; 80:103791.
3. Lawn S, Roberts L, Willis E, Couzner L, Mohammadi L, Goble E. The effects of emergency medical service work on the psychological, physical, and social well-being of ambulance personnel: a systematic review of qualitative research. *BMC psychiatry*. 2020 Dec; 20:1-6. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
4. Fernández-Abascal EG, Martín-Díaz MD. Longitudinal study on affect, psychological well-being, depression, and mental and physical health, prior to and during the COVID-19 pandemic in Spain. *Personality and Individual Differences*. 2021 Apr 1; 172:110591. [nih.gov](https://www.nih.gov)
5. VanderWeele TJ, Trudel-Fitzgerald C, Allin P, Farrelly C, Fletcher G, Frederick DE, Hall J, Helliwell JF, Kim ES, Lauinger WA, Lee MT. Current recommendations on the selection of measures for well-being. *Preventive Medicine*. 2020 Apr 1; 133:106004. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
6. Jebb AT, Morrison M, Tay L, Diener E. Subjective well-being around the world: Trends and predictors across the life span. *Psychological science*. 2020 Mar;31(3):293-305. [HTML]
7. Dobson J, Birch J, Brindley P, Henneberry J, McEwan K, Mears M, Richardson M, Jorgensen A. The magic of the mundane: The vulnerable web of connections between urban nature and wellbeing. *Cities*. 2021 Jan 1; 108:102989. [whiterose.ac.uk](https://www.whiterose.ac.uk)
8. Pinto LV, Inácio M, Ferreira CS, Ferreira AD, Pereira P. Ecosystem services and well-being dimensions related to urban green spaces—A systematic review. *Sustainable Cities and Society*. 2022 Oct 1; 85:104072. [HTML]
9. Postan-Aizik D. Social exclusion in a post-industrial city: towards a critical urban social work perspective. *European Journal of Social Work*. 2021 Nov 2;24(6):1015-27.
10. Ashford NA, Hall RP, Arango-Quiroga J, Metaxas KA, Showalter AL. Addressing inequality: the first step beyond COVID-19 and towards sustainability. *Sustainability*. 2020 Jul 3;12(13):5404. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)
11. Abascal A, Rothwell N, Shonowo A, Thomson DR, Elias P, Elsey H, Yeboah G, Kuffer M. “Domains of deprivation framework” for mapping slums, informal settlements, and other deprived areas in LMICs to improve urban planning and policy: A scoping review. *Computers, environment and urban systems*. 2022 Apr 1; 93:101770. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
12. Bisquera A, Gulliford M, Dodhia H, Ledwaba-Chapman L, Durbaba S, Soley-Bori M, Fox-Rushby J, Ashworth M, Wang Y. Identifying longitudinal clusters of multimorbidity in an urban

- setting: a population-based cross-sectional study. *The Lancet Regional Health–Europe*. 2021 Apr 1;3. [thelancet.com](https://www.thelancet.com)
13. Whitman A, De Lew N, Chappel A, Aysola V, Zuckerman R, Sommers BD. Addressing social determinants of health: Examples of successful evidence-based strategies and current federal efforts. *Off Heal Policy*. 2022 Apr 1;1:1-30. [hhs.gov](https://www.hhs.gov)
 14. de Villiers K. Bridging the health inequality gap: an examination of South Africa's social innovation in health landscape. *Infectious Diseases of Poverty*. 2021 Dec; 10:1-7.
 15. Nieuwenhuijsen MJ. New urban models for more sustainable, liveable and healthier cities post covid19; reducing air pollution, noise and heat island effects and increasing green space and physical activity. *Environment international*. 2021 Dec 1; 157:106850.
 16. Rodrigues EQ, Garcia LM, Ribeiro EH, Barrozo LV, Bernal RT, Andrade DR, Barbosa JP, Nunes AP, Fermino RC, Florindo AA. Use of an elevated avenue for leisure-time physical activity by adults from downtown São Paulo, Brazil. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2022 May 4;19(9):5581. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)
 17. Zimmerer KS, Bell MG, Chirisa I, Duvall CS, Egerer M, Hung PY, Lerner AM, Shackleton C, Ward JD, Yacamán Ochoa C. Grand challenges in urban agriculture: ecological and social approaches to transformative sustainability. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*. 2021 Apr 9; 5:668561. [frontiersin.org](https://www.frontiersin.org)
 18. van der Wal JM, van Borkulo CD, Deserno MK, Breedvelt JJ, Lees M, Lokman JC, Borsboom D, Denys D, van Holst RJ, Smidt MP, Stronks K. Advancing urban mental health research: from complexity science to actionable targets for intervention. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. 2021 Nov 1;8(11):991-1000. [academia.edu](https://www.academia.edu)

CITE AS: Alberta Jeanne N. (2024). Urban Health: Addressing the Impacts of City Living on Well-Being. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH, 6 (2):20-23.